## United States Department of Labor Employees' Compensation Appeals Board

	,
In the Matter of J.D., Appellant	) )
	)
and	) <b>Docket No. 16-0887</b>
U.S. POSTAL SERVICE, POST OFFICE, Boston, MA, Employer	) Issued: April 13, 2022 )
Appearances:  Jacqueline Shanahan, Esq., for the appellant  Office of Solicitor, for the Director	)  Case Submitted on the Record

## **ORDER GRANTING FEE PETITION**

## Before:

ALEC J. KOROMILAS, Chief Judge PATRICIA H. FITZGERALD, Deputy Chief Judge VALERIE D. EVANS-HARRELL, Alternate Judge

Counsel for appellant has filed a fee petition in the amount of \$600.00.<sup>1</sup> The Board notes that all petitions for approval of fees for representative's services are considered under the Board's statutory authority found at section 8127 of the Federal Employees' Compensation Act,<sup>2</sup> (FECA) and under its *Rules of Procedure* found at 20 C.F.R. § 501.9(e).<sup>3</sup>

Under these regulations, the Board must consider the petition under the following general criteria:

(1) The usefulness of the Representative's services;<sup>4</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> FECA (5 U.S.C. § 8127(b)) and the implementing regulations (20 C.F.R. § 501.9) clearly require the Board to review each fee petition on its own merits and with regard to the unique facts and issues of each appeal. The recognition that each appeal to the Board has unique a spects is reflected in the Board's orders granting or denying fee petitions.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 5 U.S.C. § 8127.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> 20 C.F.R. § 501.9(e).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The Board's consideration of "usefulness" includes, but is not limited to, the frequency and quality of communication by the representative with the client, the factual evidence and legal a rgument offered, and written pleadings filed in the case. The Board will a lso consider the usefulness of a representative's work as it aided the Board in its consideration and decision of the issue appealed.

- (2) The nature and complexity of the appeal;<sup>5</sup>
- (3) The capacity in which the Representative has appeared;<sup>6</sup>
- (4) The actual time spent in connection with the Board appeal;<sup>7</sup> and
- (5) Customary local charges for similar services.<sup>8</sup>

As required by the Board's regulations, appellant has been afforded written notice of the fee requested and provided an opportunity to comment on the fee petition. No response was received.

The requested fees pertain to services performed before the Board in the above-referenced appeal. The Board issued its decision on November 4, 2016 which affirmed a February 10, 2016 decision of the Office of Workers' Compensation Programs (OWCP). In its February 10, 2016 decision, OWCP found that appellant had not met his burden of proof to establish a back injury causally related to an accepted July 1, 2014 employment incident.<sup>10</sup>

On appeal, counsel submitted an eight-page brief supporting that appellant had established a January 1, 2014 employment injury. In this brief, counsel discussed medical reports of record, presented several legal citations, and made relevant contentions in support of appellant's appeal before the Board.

On December 14, 2016 counsel provided a fee petition requesting approval of fees totaling \$600.00.

The decision on appeal was dated February 10, 2016 and the appeal was filed with the Board on March 25, 2016. The fee petition requests approval of services provided on March 24 and 26, 2016 and documents 1.5 hours spent in connection with this appeal before the Board at \$400.00 per hour for Jacqueline Shanahan, *Esq.* The fee petition described the specific services provided for the amounts of time claimed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The Board's evaluation of the "nature and complexity" of an appeal includes, but is not limited to, whether the issue appealed is novel or required extensive or unusual factual evidence or legal argument. The Board recognizes that not all complex issues are cases of first impression. However, the representative must establish the complex or unusual nature of the appeal.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> The Board's consideration of the "capacity" in which a representative appears includes, but is not limited to, whether the representative obtained a written retainer and fee agreement was obtained.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> The Board's evaluation of an itemized statement of work and charges includes, but is not limited to, whether the statement is clear, detailed, and describes those aspects of the appeal which merit the fee claimed and whether the representative has personally affirmed the correctness of the fee. No stipulated or contingent fee will be approved by the Board. 20 C.F.R. § 501.9(e).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> The Board's consideration of customary, local fees recognizes that representatives often have clients in several states and that local custom must be balanced against national practice in the FECA appeals.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> 20 C.F.R. § 501.9(e).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> OWCP had previously denied appellant's claim for a July 1, 2014 employment injury in decisions dated September 26, 2014, and April 28 and August 12, 2015.

The Board has carefully reviewed the fee petition, and finds that it satisfies the requirements of section 501.9(e) of the Board's implementing regulations. The Board concludes that the fee requested is reasonable.

The Board notes that under 20 C.F.R. § 501.9(e) "[n]o claim for a fee for legal or other service performed on appeal before the Board is valid unless approved by the Board." Under 18 U.S.C. § 292, collecting a fee without the approval of the Board may constitute a misdemeanor, subject to fine or imprisonment up to a year or both.

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT** the fee petition is granted in the amount of \$600.00.

Issued: April 13, 2022 Washington, DC

> Alec J. Koromilas, Chief Judge Employees' Compensation Appeals Board

> Patricia H. Fitzgerald, Deputy Chief Judge Employees' Compensation Appeals Board

> Valerie D. Evans-Harrell, Alternate Judge Employees' Compensation Appeals Board